



Perth and Kinross Local Development Plan

Main Issues Report

December 2016

Please read the notes below before completing this form.

Notes

1. Use this form to help you set out any comments that you would like to make about the Main Issues Report. You can answer as many or as few of the questions as you wish. Please keep your responses as concise as possible, but if you need more space for your response please continue on a separate sheet. The important thing is that we know what the comments are about and how we can contact you.
2. The consultation period will last until Wednesday 16 March 2016 and it is essential that you ensure that representations are with us by then.
3. To comply with the Freedom of Information (Scotland) Act 2002 your comments cannot be treated as confidential.
4. Comments received on this Main Issues Report will be taken into account in preparing the Proposed Local Development Plan which is due to be published in September 2016.
5. Please note that comments and any information you provide will be published online; please be assured personal details such as signatures, email addresses and telephone numbers will be removed prior to this. Names and addresses will be published. Please be aware that you should avoid making personal comments or expressing opinions about others and that comments of this nature will not be published. If you do not wish your name, address or other comments to be published please contact the Development Plan Team.
6. Once we have your comments we will acknowledge them and inform you when the Proposed Local Development Plan is published.
7. This form is also available on the Council's web site - www.pkc.gov.uk/mainissuesldp2

1. Contact Details

Name (or Name of Agent)

Representing (if applicable)

Your Company Name

Address

Postcode

Telephone

Mobile

We would prefer to contact you by email. If you are happy to be contacted by email please give your address below.

Email

1. Do you agree that the vision and objectives set out in the adopted Plan remain valid? Yes/No

Please briefly tell us the reasons why

2. Do you agree settlement based 'statements of aspiration' should be developed in conjunction with the communities and key stakeholders? Yes/No

Please briefly tell us the reasons why

3. Do you agree with the preferred option of increasing the contribution of small sites in the Highland HMA from 15% to 20%? Yes/No

Please briefly tell us the reasons why

4. Do you agree that the Council should introduce the above mentioned new policy RD7 to ensure stalled and non-effective sites are brought forward? Yes/No

Please briefly tell us the reasons why

5. What enforceable mechanism could be used to ensure development commences within a specified period of planning permissions being granted and to ensure that phasing is tied to the Delivery Strategy?

Please briefly tell us the reasons why you are suggesting this mechanism.

6. Do you agree that this policy should require the Delivery Strategy for larger sites (over 300 houses) to demonstrate how delivery can be maximised, including by involving a range of developers and provision for self build? Yes/No

Please briefly tell us the reasons why

7. Do you agree that policy PM4 should be renamed 'Settlement Envelopes' and reworded to allow development on the edge of settlements in specific limited circumstances? Yes/No

Please briefly tell us the reasons why

8. Do you agree that the LDP provides the sites, guidance and opportunities to assist the Tay Eco-Valley initiative? Yes/No

If you do not agree what changes would you propose and why?

9. Do you agree with the proposed Green Belt boundary changes (Maps 2 + 3) ? Yes/No

Please briefly tell us the reasons why

10. Do you agree that the Green Belt policy should be changed as proposed to allow more scope for development within the Green Belt? Yes/No

Please briefly tell us the reasons why

11. Do you agree that Policy ER1 should be amended as proposed in the preferred option to provide support for district heating proposals and to better enable these proposals to be assessed under LDP2, including the use of heat mapping? Yes/No

Please briefly tell us the reasons why

12. If not, which alternative approach to addressing the issue of district heating would you suggest the LDP could take and why?

13. If you wish to see changes made to the boundary of any of the towns or villages within the Perth Area, please indicate which settlement and provide details of the change you think should be made.

14. Do you agree with the idea of enhancing the city centre streetscape? Yes/No

Please briefly tell us the reasons why

15. Do you support enhancements to the Railway Station combined with an integrated bus and coach station (Map 6) ? Yes/No

Please briefly tell us the reasons why.

16. Do you support expansion at Binn Eco Park (Map 7) within an agreed masterplan? Yes/No

17. If not, how and where should the Proposed Plan promote investment in the technologies and industries that will maximise the value of waste?

18. Do you support the protection of land to facilitate opportunities to enhance train journey times to Edinburgh?
Yes/No

Please briefly tell us the reasons why

19. Do you agree that the best location for a new cemetery is at Isla Road, Perth? Give reasons

20. Do you agree with the preferred option for Perth West (Map12) to allocated a wider area here Yes/No (Please briefly tell us the reasons why, particularly if you think the alternative option of continuing the existing LDP Perth West allocation, and identifying a separate allocation/or leaving the former auction mart within the settlement boundary as white land should be pursued.)

21. Do you agree with the preferred option for land north of Burghmuir Reservoir (Map 13)? Yes/No (Please briefly tell us the reasons why)

22. Do you agree with the preferred option for Perth Quarry (Map 14) ? Yes/No

Please briefly tell us the reasons why

23. Do you agree with the preferred option for Scone H29 (Map 15) ? Yes/No

Please briefly tell us the reasons why

24. If you think the alternative option to keep the existing H29 allocation should be pursued

Please briefly tell us the reasons why.

25. Do you agree that the preferred option for meeting the housing land shortfall in the Greater Dundee HMA is the identification of an additional site in Longforan (Map 17)? Yes/No

Please briefly tell us the reasons why, particularly if you prefer the alternative option of allocating additional land at Inchtute (Map 18)

26. If you wish to see changes made to the boundary of any of the towns or villages within the Highland Area, please indicate which settlement and provide details of the change you think should be made.

27. Do you agree that the preferred option to meet the housing land requirement in the Highland HMA is a reallocation of 10% to the Perth HMA? Yes/No

Please briefly tell us the reasons why and if not, how do you suggest the shortfall in housing land supply should be accommodated?

28. In the event of the new Adopted TAYplan including a requirement for an additional 10% flexibility, do you agree that the preferred option to meet the housing land requirement in the Highland HMA is a reallocation of 15% to the Perth HMA? Yes/No

Please briefly tell us the reasons why and if not, how do you suggest the shortfall in housing land supply should be accommodated?

29. Do you agree that the preferred option for Aberfeldy should be to continue with the existing allocations (Map 20) ? Yes/No

Please briefly tell us the reasons why

If you think either of the alternative options for Aberfeldy (Maps 21 or Map 22) should be pursued please indicate which option and briefly indicate your reason.

30. Do you agree that the preferred option for Dunkeld & Birnam should be an amendment to the northern boundary of Dunkeld (Map 23) to allow scope for a limited amount of small scale windfall residential development? Yes/No

Please briefly tell us the reasons why

31. Do you agree that the preferred option for Pitlochry should be minor extensions to the existing sites at H38 and H39 (Maps 24 and 25) together with a review of the existing land use allocations shown in Map 26 to allow scope for some small scale windfall residential development within the settlement boundary? Yes/No

Please briefly tell us the reasons why

32. If you wish to see changes made to the boundary of any of the towns or villages within the Kinross Area, please indicate which settlement and provide details of the change you think should be made.

33. Do you agree that the preferred option for Kinross and Milnathort should be to remove E19 allocation (Map 28) ? Yes/No (Please briefly tell us the reasons why)

34. Do you agree that the preferred option for Kinross and Milnathort should be to continue with the existing allocations but remove OP15 (Map 29) and the part of OP16 (Map 30) which lies within the functional flood plain? Yes/No (Please briefly tell us the reasons why. If you think the alternative option to support housing development on OP15 (Map 31) Lethangie next to the Loch Leven Community Campus should be pursued please briefly indicate your reason.)

35. Do you agree that the preferred option for the wider Kinross-shire area (Map 32) to identify a site in Crook of Devon at the junction of the A977 and the B9074? Yes/No (Please briefly state your reasons why)

36. Do you agree that the preferred option for Blairingone should be to work with the community and landowners to develop a community plan to be adopted as Statutory Supplementary Guidance to replace the current Blairingone settlement section of the adopted Plan? Yes/No

37. Please briefly tell us the reasons why, particularly if you think the alternative option of retaining the existing LDP position for Blairingone should be pursued?

38. If you wish to see changes made to the boundary of any of the towns or villages within the Strathearn Area, please indicate which settlement and provide details of the change you think should be made.

39. Do you agree with the preferred option to promote a Simplified Planning Zone Scheme to widen the types of uses allowed at the Cultybraggan Camp (see Map 35) to include community and employment uses? Yes/No

Please briefly tell us the reasons why

40. As a result of there being no shortfall of housing land identified, do you agree with the preferred option for more housing on the existing sites in Crieff (MU7 – Map 36) and / or on the Auchterarder (Development Framework sites on Map 37) ? Yes/No

If not do you think the alternative option which is not to identify any additional allocations is best? Yes/No

Please briefly tell us the reasons why

41. If you wish to see changes made to the boundary of any of the towns or villages within the Strathmore & the Glens Area, please indicate which settlement and provide details of the change you think should be made.

42. Do you agree with the idea of expanding the current playing fields (Map 39) in the open space area at Rosemount ? Yes/No

Please briefly tell us the reasons why

43. Which of the above options would you consider to be most appropriate for additional cemetery provision (Map 40)

Please briefly tell us the reasons why

44. Do you agree with the preferred option for a wider eastern expansion for Blairgowrie (Map 41); an extension of H64 (Map 42); redevelopment of Brownfield Land at Westfields in Rattray (Map 43); and inclusion of Annfield Place in Alyth (Map 44) to provide a generous and strategic housing land supply for Strathmore and the Glens?

Please briefly tell us the reasons why

45. Or do you think that the best alternative option for Strathmore and the Glens is to identify 3 of the smaller sites proposed in Blairgowrie (Map 42), Rattray (Map 43) and Alyth (Map 44)?

Please briefly tell us the reasons why.

If there are any other comments you would like to make on the Main issues Report please use the box below

Completed Submission forms should be addressed to developmentplan@pkc.gov.uk

Or alternatively by post to:

Local Development Plan Team
Perth and Kinross Council
Pullar House
35 Kinnoull Street
Perth
PH1 5GD

Any personal data that you have been asked to provide on this form will be held and processed in accordance with the requirements of the 1998 Data Protection Act.