

# 2017 Correspondence

March – April

**Date**

**Content**

**Reply**

28/03/17	To J. Swinney re inconsistencies with N. Brian's response to J. Belch letter 28/02/17, 1)no response to suggested meeting with an independent chairperson, 2) no ambiguity with the definition of the term "committed" 3) Air Quality, despite pkc supposedly concerned about air pollution pkc environmental health dept scoped out the need for an air quality assessment. 4) flooding assessment seems to have been deferred to future phased applications, very few relevant drawings of the areas to the <b>north and north east</b> of the housing site where the flooding sources are whilst the proposed outfall is in the <b>southwest</b> corner of the site.	4/04/17 Mr. Swinney has written to PKC and asked them to respond directly to each of the issues we have raised. Strongly reiterated his request for a meeting between SDCC and PKC, supporting our suggestion of Craig Connel as chair. When he has a reply he will be in touch.
29/03/17	To pkc planning re change of use from antiques centre to 14 flats at airport, embargo states until the construction of the CTLR is a committed project, no consent for over 10 permitted.	Ack 1/04/17 from N.Brian stating our concerns will be taken into account.
31/03/17	Re-issue of request to J. Stephen re public retraction of untrue statement in press concerning deliberate omission of Stephens' employees from the village questionnaire.	No reply by the 7/04/17
3/04/17	From FOI team re our request to review the fact that PKC know nothing about the Highfield Road roundabout shown in their promotional video. The pkc team will forward SDCC contact details to the sustainable development team.	3/04/17 re foi stating that the SDCC does not agree that the Council does not hold any relevant info regarding the Highfield road roundabout shown on the fly through video of the CTLR. Passed on to Alan Taylor head of finance and support sevicees in housing and community care, reply by 27 <sup>th</sup> April.
3/04/17	From J. Valentine stating proposed mediation meeting will provide an opportunity to revisit decisions already taken through the LDP process. Recommends John Sturrock QC as chairperson (worked with the Stanley Village Project) as Craig Connel proposed by SDCC does not appear to be a trained mediator. Agreement of the mediator must be by all parties which could include developer and landowner.	
7/04/17	From J. Swinney with reply from Kevin Stewart MSP , acknowledges SDCC concerns about handling of application, government's policy is to leave local issues to be dealt with by local councils, gov publishes policies and issues guidance to councils but does not police them to see if they carry out their duties correctly, local councils monitor themselves and analyse their own performance.	
10/04/17	From N. Brian re agreed extension of time outwith the 16 week timeframe which would expire on 5/04/17, applicant's agent has he request for signing. Development management committee date cannot be confirmed yet until after local elections.	

10/04/17	To Jillian Ferguson requesting that a definitive statement is made by PKC that the entire CTRLR section of the Perth Transport Futures Project phase 2 will be let as a single contract.	
13/04/17	Reply to j. Swinney from N. Brian stating that “ he is of the opinion that the submitted Biodiversity survey methodology followed recognised guidelines and the information provided in the Ecological statement was of an acceptable standard for a predominately arable farmland site” Further surveys may be required at the detailed application stage.	
14/04/17	To J.Swinney re housing minister’s response stating that the Scot gov does not enforce the 2006 act relating to the assessment of planning authorities performance so no outside monitoring process is carried out therefore what is the correct route to follow to ensure the accountability of the planning officers of PKC. Too much focus in the minister’s response on the housing development and not on the conduct and accountability of the council.	
21/04/17	Email to Provost requesting response to our February email asking for clarification about her answers to points made by us in a January email regarding the planning department’s methods and erroneous reporting etc	